

FINAL REPORT

FINAL REPORT OF THE
PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME
COURT COMMITTEE ON
RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS
IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM



TABLE OF CONTENTS

5	COMMITTEE AND SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS
10	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
12	INTRODUCTION
17	CHAPTER 1 LITIGANTS WITH LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY
	Introduction
	Synopsis of Findings
	Legal Analysis
	Research Methodology
	Public Hearing Testimony
	Other State Systems and the State Court Interpreter Certification Consortium
	General Findings
	Recommendations
	Endnotes
51	CHAPTER 2 RACIAL AND ETHNIC BIAS IN JURY SELECTION
	Introduction
	Synopsis of Findings
	Research Methodology
	Public Hearing Testimony
	Other Task Force Findings
	Best Practices
	Recommendations
	Endnotes

103	CHAPTER 3	<p>GENDER BIAS IN JURY SELECTION</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction Synopsis of Findings Research Methodology Public Hearing Testimony Best Practices Recommendations Endnotes
125	CHAPTER 4	<p>SENTENCING DISPARITIES IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction Synopsis of Findings Research Methodology—Kramer/Ulmer Study Specific Study Findings Limitations on Findings Public Hearing Testimony Other Task Force Findings Appellate Review Recommendations Endnotes
163	CHAPTER 5	<p>INDIGENT DEFENSE IN PENNSYLVANIA</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction Synopsis of Findings and Recommendations Research Methodology Indigent Defense Expenditures in Pennsylvania Indigent Defense Expenditures in Pennsylvania Compared with Similar State Systems Indigent Defense in Pennsylvania: Specific Findings Recommendations Endnotes
199	CHAPTER 6	<p>RACIAL AND ETHNIC DISPARITIES IN THE IMPOSITION OF THE DEATH PENALTY</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introduction The Necessity for Comprehensive Data Collection Empirical Research in Pennsylvania and Elsewhere Delivery of Counsel Services to Indigent Defendants The Need for a Racial Justice Act Standards for the Exercise of Prosecutorial Discretion Conclusion Recommendations Sources Endnotes

229	CHAPTER 7	CIVIL LITIGATION Introduction Synopsis of Findings Other Task Force Findings Inequities in Personal Injury and Wrongful Death Awards to Women and Minorities Inequities in Employment Discrimination Cases Recommendations Endnotes
261	CHAPTER 8	EMPLOYMENT AND APPOINTMENT PRACTICES OF THE COURTS Introduction The Court as Employer The Court as Appointer Conclusion Recommendations Endnotes
303	CHAPTER 9	PERCEPTIONS AND OCCURRENCES OF RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND GENDER BIAS IN THE COURTROOM Introduction Racial and Ethnic Bias Gender Bias Model Codes of Professional Conduct Model Grievance Procedures Recommendations Endnotes
385	CHAPTER 10	DOMESTIC VIOLENCE Introduction Synopsis of Findings and Recommendations General Findings Conclusion Other Task Force Findings Best Practices Recommendations Endnotes
419	CHAPTER 11	SEXUAL ASSAULT Introduction Synopsis of Findings and Recommendations Research Methodology Relevant Pennsylvania Law Other Task Force Findings General Findings Recommendations Endnotes

453	CHAPTER 12	FAMILY LAW Introduction Synopsis of Findings General Findings Other Task Force Findings Conclusion Best Practices Recommendations Endnotes
505	CHAPTER 13	RACIAL, ETHNIC, AND GENDER BIAS IN THE JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM Introduction Focus of Inquiry Research Methodology National Data Stakeholder Interviews Public Hearing Testimony Other Task Force Findings Conclusion Recommendations Endnotes
537	CHAPTER 14	INTERSECTION OF RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS Introduction Conclusion Recommendations Endnotes

COMMITTEE AND SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS

COMMITTEE ON RACIAL AND GENDER BIAS IN THE JUSTICE SYSTEM

Nicholas P. Cafardi, Chair
Dean, Duquesne University School of Law

Honorable Ida K. Chen
Court of Common Pleas, Philadelphia

Thomas L. Cooper, Esq.
Gilardi, Cooper, Lomupo

André L. Dennis, Esq.
Stradley, Ronon, Stevens & Young, LL.P.

Honorable Nelson A. Diaz
City Solicitor, Philadelphia

Professor Phoebe A. Haddon, Esq.
Temple University Beasley School of Law

Roberta D. Liebenberg, Esq.
Fine, Kaplan and Black

Charisse R. Lillie, Esq.
Ballard, Spahr, Andrews & Ingersoll, LL.P.

Lynn A. Marks, Esq.
Executive Director, Pennsylvanians for Modern Courts

Burton D. Morris, Esq.
Harrisburg, PA

Monsignor David Rubino
Seton Hill College

Staff

Lisette M. McCormick, Esq., *Executive Director*
Jennifer Collins, *Research Assistant*
Eileen Mackowiak, *Secretary*
Nancy Mancuso, *Paralegal*
Danielle S. Williams, *Staff Counsel*

RACIAL AND ETHNIC BIAS SUBCOMMITTEE
WORK GROUPS

Committee Members

André L. Dennis, Esq., Co-Chair
Professor Phoebe A. Haddon, Esq., Co-Chair
Charisse R. Lillie, Esq., Co-Chair

Reported Occurrences and Perceptions of Racially Biased Behavior

Professor Frank McClellan, Esq., Chair, Philadelphia
AnnDrea Benson, Esq., Erie
Eugene Berry, Esq., Pittsburgh
Lillian Haskins, Esq., Harrisburg
Juan Laureda, Esq., Philadelphia
Honorable Livingstone Johnson, Pittsburgh
Reverend William Moore, Harrisburg

The Court as Appointer

Carl Cooper, Esq., Chair, Pittsburgh
Pamela Cross, Esq., Harrisburg
David Hickton, Esq., Pittsburgh
Glenn Mahone, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable Juan Sanchez, Chester

The Court as Employer

Charlotte Jefferies, Esq., Chair, Pittsburgh
Honorable Timothy P. Creany, Altoona
District Justice Fred Pierantoni, Wilkes-Barre

Litigants with Limited English Proficiency

Quan Pham, Chair, Philadelphia
Osvaldo Aviles, Philadelphia
Honorable Ida K. Chen, Philadelphia
Pedro Cortes, Esq., Harrisburg
Luis Diaz, Esq., Philadelphia
Stephen Krone, Esq., Harrisburg
Arthur Read, Esq., Philadelphia
Judith A. Robinson, Esq., Harrisburg
Paul Uyehara, Esq., Philadelphia

Race and Ethnic Bias in Jury Selection

Will Gonzalez, Esq., Co-Chair, Philadelphia
Kurt Saunders, Esq., Co-Chair, California
Clifford Boardman, Esq., Philadelphia
Joel Johnson, Esq., Philadelphia
Tsiwen M. Law, Esq., Philadelphia
Timothy P. O'Brien, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable R. Stanton Wettick, Jr., Pittsburgh
Wendy L. Williams, Esq., Pittsburgh

The Criminal Justice System: Sentencing

Philip Friedman, Esq., Chair, Erie
Honorable Renee Hughes, Philadelphia
Caroline Roberto, Esq., Pittsburgh
J. Clayton Undercofler, Esq., Philadelphia
Professor Lu-in Wang, Esq., Pittsburgh

The Criminal Justice System: Death Penalty

Henry Perkin, Esq., Chair, Allentown
Efrem M. Grail, Esq., Pittsburgh
Lt. Sharon Seaborough, Philadelphia
Honorable Gregory E. Smith, Philadelphia
Shelly Stark, Esq., Pittsburgh
Pamela Tucker, Philadelphia
Kevin Vaughan, Esq., Philadelphia
David Zuckerman, Esq., Philadelphia

The Criminal Justice System: Juvenile Justice

Marsha Levick, Esq., Co-Chair, Philadelphia
Robert Listenbee, Esq., Co-Chair, Philadelphia
Honorable Cheryl Allen, Pittsburgh
Meghan Black, Esq., Pittsburgh
Reverend Luis Cortes, Philadelphia
Rhonda Anderson Marks, Esq., Pittsburgh

Family Law

Honorable Cynthia Baldwin, Chair, Pittsburgh
Professor Karen Jackson Vaughan, Esq., Philadelphia

GENDER BIAS SUBCOMMITTEE WORK GROUPS

Committee Members

Lynn A. Marks, Esq., Co-Chair
Roberta D. Liebenberg, Esq., Co-Chair

Reported Occurrences and Perceptions of Gender Biased Behavior

Judy Berkman, Esq., Co-Chair, Philadelphia
Christine Miller, Esq., Co-Chair, Pittsburgh
Honorable Nitza I. Quinones Alejandro, Philadelphia
Honorable Faith Angell, Philadelphia
Honorable Kate Ford Elliott, Pittsburgh
Amos Goodall, Esq., State College
Honorable John W. Herron, Philadelphia
District Justice Elaine McGraw, Pittsburgh
Honorable Norma L. Shapiro, Philadelphia
Honorable Eugene B. Strassburger, III, Pittsburgh
Min Soo Suh, Esq., Philadelphia
Audrey Talley, Esq., Philadelphia

The Court as Appointer

Sara Davis Buss, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable Rita Hathaway, Greensburg
Honorable Maureen Lally-Green, Pittsburgh
(Participated in fact-finding process only)
Honorable Kathleen R. Mulligan, Pittsburgh
Rhoda Neft, Esq., Pittsburgh

The Court as Employer

Bunny Baum, Philadelphia
Professor Martha Chamallas, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable Maureen Lally-Green, Pittsburgh
Elizabeth “Dolly” Shuster, Esq., Harrisburg
Deborah Weinstein, Esq., Philadelphia

Civil Litigation

Professor Martha Chamallas, Esq., Chair, Pittsburgh
Kim Borland, Esq., Wilkes-Barre
Gloria M. Gilman, Esq., Philadelphia
Jon Pushinsky, Esq., Pittsburgh
Richard Ruth, Esq., Erie
Jamie Sheller, Esq., Philadelphia

Gender Bias in Jury Selection

Marguerite Walsh, Esq., Chair, Philadelphia
Professor Robert Boatright, Esq., Washington D.C.
Andrew A. Chirls, Esq., Philadelphia
Julia Hoke, Esq., Philadelphia
Honorable Flora Wolf, Philadelphia

Sexual Assault

Delilah Rumburg, Chair, Harrisburg
Commander Gwen Elliott, Pittsburgh
Ellen Greenlee, Esq., Philadelphia
Mila Hayes, Norristown
Honorable Patricia McInerney, Philadelphia
Mimi Rose, Esq., Philadelphia
Carol Tracy, Esq., Philadelphia

Domestic Violence

Sharon Lopez, Esq., Chair, Harrisburg
Lorraine Bittner, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable Ida K. Chen, Philadelphia
Ingrid Cronin, Esq., Wilkes-Barre
Patricia A. Dubin, Esq., Philadelphia
Christine McLaughlin, Esq., Wilkes-Barre
Officer Ana Rodriguez, Philadelphia

Family Law

Mary Cushing Doherty, Esq., Chair, Philadelphia
Professor Vanessa Browne-Barbour, Esq., Pittsburgh
Kathleen C. Daley, Esq., Harrisburg
Terry L. Fromson, Esq., Philadelphia
Chris Gillotti, Esq., Pittsburgh
Honorable Leslie Gorbey, Lancaster
Scott M. Hollander, Esq., Pittsburgh
Elizabeth Jackson, Esq., Philadelphia
Joann Jofrey, Esq., Sharon
Bill Madeira, Esq., Philadelphia
James Mahood, Esq., Pittsburgh
Judge Lillian Ransom, Philadelphia
Professor Louis Rulli, Esq., Philadelphia

Intersection of Race and Gender

Professor Phoebe A. Haddon, Esq., Chair, Philadelphia
Honorable Jacqueline Allen, Philadelphia
Roberta D. Liebenberg, Esq., Philadelphia

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is of particular importance to acknowledge that this study would not have taken place without the commitment and support of the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, the General Assembly of Pennsylvania, and former Governor Tom Ridge. In particular, Joseph Daily, Executive Administrator of the Supreme Court, and his staff assisted the Committee staff on a regular basis.

.....
10

The Committee also acknowledges the work of the Philadelphia Bar Association in 1993 that resulted in a survey and the filing of a petition with the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania requesting that the Court appoint a committee to study issues of race and ethnicity within the justice system. In addition, the Committee is grateful to Will Gonzalez for his efforts to obtain initial funding for the study.

The Committee is indebted to the chairs and members of the work groups for their diligence and resourcefulness exhibited throughout the study. The Committee also wishes to thank the staff, along with the writers, editors, particularly James Davidson, Nancy Koerbel, and Chris Miller, and law students, who spent countless hours researching, reviewing and drafting the fourteen chapters of this report. Lisette McCormick, our executive director, has provided extraordinary leadership to this effort, and we are grateful to her and the staff.

The Committee extends its deepest appreciation to the court administrators throughout the Commonwealth for devoting many hours to completing surveys and compiling data in order to facilitate our evaluation of the inner-workings of the justice system. The staff of the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts offered support and expertise throughout the study.

Special thanks are also extended to the court administrators and judges in areas where the public hearings were held: Pittsburgh, Philadelphia, Harrisburg, Erie, State College and Wilkes-Barre/Scranton. The Committee gratefully acknowledges their assistance in community outreach efforts, securing conference rooms large enough to accommodate the audiences, and for supporting our work. The Committee also thanks all of the witnesses who testified at the public hearings and the many participants in our roundtable discussions around the Commonwealth.

The Committee wishes to recognize the invaluable contribution of Dr. Yolande P. Marlow of the Administrative Office of the Courts in New Jersey for graciously reviewing countless reports and providing many hours of support and consultation to the Committee, and of Lynn Hecht Schafran and the National Judicial Education Program for the wealth of information they provided to the Committee's study.

The Committee consulted with a number of individuals to develop surveys for our work groups' research efforts and to conduct sophisticated statistical analyses of the data. Amy Anderson, Wanda Foglia, John Kramer, Monique Martin, Andrea Piccinin, Ralph Taylor, Gail Johnston Ulmer, Jeffrey Ulmer, and Rangita de Silva-de Alwis, Bob Spangenberg and their staff all produced reports of the highest quality for the Committee. Nancy Hirschinger and Alan Rosin also consulted with the Committee in the design of survey instruments.

The Committee is also indebted to Agnew Moyer Smith Inc. who designed and produced the final report for the Committee. In particular, the Committee thanks Christina Papp, Rita Lee, Cat Zaccardi, Deborah Edwards and Andie Markijohn for their dedication and expertise in producing an excellent product. The Committee also thanks Beach Advertising, Bynums Advertising, and Cobb and DaBaldo Printing Company for their assistance in proofreading and printing the report and supplementary materials.

In addition, the Committee thanks the many individuals who helped organize and conduct focus groups and roundtable discussions, including The Melior Group, Ann Begler, Lorraine Bittner, Jennifer Campbell, Robert Creo, Mary Cushing Doherty, Patricia Dubin, Terry Fromson, Mardi Harrison, Vicki Kramer, Joseph Lach, Sharon Lopez, Louis Rulli, Delilah Rumburg and the staff of the Pennsylvania Coalition Against Rape, and Caren Bloom.

The Committee is also indebted to the law firms of Ballard, Spahr, Andrews & Ingersoll and Blank, Rome, Comisky & McCauley in Philadelphia for graciously hosting the monthly Committee meetings. Special thanks to Duquesne University School of Law, Philadelphia Legal Services and Meyer, Darragh, Buckler, Bebenek & Eck in Pittsburgh for housing and assisting the Committee staff in numerous ways.

The wisdom of these many individuals and organizations helped produce a report that we hope will serve as a guide to fostering a court system that is as fair and equitable as possible. Without their support, the Committee's work would have been impossible.

INTRODUCTION

On October 15, 1999, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania appointed the Committee on Racial and Gender Bias in the Justice System,¹ to undertake a study of the state court system to determine whether racial or gender bias plays a role in the justice system. Upon completion of the study, the Committee was instructed to present its findings and recommendations to the Court.

In order to discharge its mission, the Committee identified what it believed to be the key issues in its study. These included the needs of litigants with limited English proficiency; the lack of racial and ethnic diversity in the composition of juries; the employment and appointment processes of the courts; the treatment by the court system of survivors of domestic violence and sexual assault; racial, ethnic, and gender bias in the juvenile justice system; disparities in sentencing; the adequacy of representation of indigent criminal defendants; racial and ethnic disparities in the imposition of the death penalty; and selected issues in civil litigation and family law. The Committee set up a series of work groups comprised of distinguished representatives from across the state, including members of the bench and bar, educators, and advocates with expertise in the topics which the Committee selected for study. Each of the work groups was assigned the task of examining one of the discrete topics selected for study and implementing the research methodology formulated by the Committee. The methodology was chosen to ensure the broadest level of participation by all sectors of the community. The methods that were employed included the following:

1. **PUBLIC HEARINGS**—The Committee conducted public hearings in six locations across the Commonwealth. The hearings attracted scholars, advocates, court personnel, attorneys, judges, and members of the general public who offered accounts of their experiences with the justice system. The hearings were well-publicized and generated a total of 2,000 pages of testimony.
2. **SURVEYS**—With the assistance of experts, the Committee drafted and distributed surveys to court administrators, district attorneys, public defenders, community service agencies, and others in order to collect data from across the Commonwealth on the topics chosen for study. The response rate for most of the surveys was exceptionally high. The data yielded by the surveys was professionally analyzed and was used as a basis for the findings in the work groups' reports. The data was integral to the Committee's recommendations.

3. **STATISTICAL STUDIES**—The Committee engaged the services of statistical experts to conduct original research for several of the work groups. The topics of these studies included the racial and ethnic diversity of juries across the Commonwealth; the adequacy of indigent criminal defense services provided by public defender offices and court-appointed attorneys; and racial, ethnic, and gender disparities in sentencing. Comprehensive reports were prepared by the consultants which support the findings and recommendations. These reports are included in the appendices to the Committee report.
4. **FOCUS GROUPS AND PERSONAL INTERVIEWS**—The Committee engaged the services of two professional research consultants to conduct a series of focus group discussions and personal interviews with individuals who play important roles in the legal system across the Commonwealth. They helped to frame the issues for discussion and utilized social scientific protocol for these inquiries. The discussions focused on racial, ethnic, and gender bias in the courtroom. A total of 10 focus group sessions were conducted with attorneys and court personnel. Personal interviews were held with 18 judges and 10 litigants. The participants in the interviews and in the focus groups were primarily African American and white, with representation from the Latino and Asian American communities, and included both men and women.
5. **ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSIONS**—The Committee also conducted a series of roundtable discussions with experienced attorneys from around the Commonwealth to discuss bias issues in discrete areas of law, including employment law, family law, the juvenile dependency system, general civil litigation, and criminal sexual assault cases. Roundtable discussions were also held among users of the legal system, including victims of domestic violence. The sessions were led by experienced discussion facilitators. The invited participants came from all areas of the Commonwealth and represented a cross-section of racial and ethnic groups; they included both men and women, as well.
6. **EXISTING STATISTICAL STUDIES**—The Committee also reviewed several existing statistical studies on topics being examined by the work groups. The studies were conducted by distinguished researchers and have found wide acceptance in the legal and social sciences arenas. The topics ranged from the death penalty to court interpretation services.

7. OTHER STATE TASK FORCE REPORTS—In an effort to build upon the extensive research and study by other states and federal courts, the Committee examined reports published by other state and federal racial, ethnic, and gender bias task forces for information and recommendations pertinent to the topics studied by the Committee. The Committee also conducted extensive literature reviews on the topics under study, focusing on law reviews, law journals, and scholarly publications.

The Committee’s task presented a unique challenge: In seeking to determine whether racial and gender bias permeate the court system, the Committee, of necessity, had to seek out and focus upon data and information that address race and gender explicitly. However, in some ways, this focus challenges the notion that “justice is blind.” While the Committee initially struggled with this seeming dichotomy, it recognized that in some contexts a race-conscious or gender-conscious approach is needed, while in others, a race-neutral or gender-neutral approach is the way to eliminate bias. For example, if we are concerned about the racial makeup of jury pools, we need information about the racial makeup of the population summoned, the population responding to summonses, the pool that appears, and the panels that are selected. Yet collecting such information can be characterized as at odds with a “race-neutral” approach. The Committee has concluded that collecting this information, not just in the jury context, but in many others, is necessary to the work of eradicating bias. In other contexts, the Committee has proposed a race-neutral and gender-neutral approach as a means to eliminate bias, for example, in the use of statistical life and work expectancy tables for damages awards. The Committee’s positions in these different settings are not inconsistent; rather, they reflect different modes of analysis for identifying and recommending solutions for eliminating bias present in the court system.

The Committee wishes to emphasize that it heard positive comments about how the Pennsylvania justice system functions. The full report describes these observations and highlights “best practices” by the courts in Pennsylvania and elsewhere. At the same time, the Committee’s findings demonstrate that racial, ethnic, and gender bias does exist and that it infects the justice system at many key points in both overt and subtle ways. Even when controlling for other factors such as economic status, familial status, and geographic diversity, the studies demonstrate that racial, ethnic, and gender bias still emerge as significantly affecting the way an individual (be it a party, witness, litigant, lawyer, court employee, or potential juror) is treated.

As the Supreme Court itself recognized in commissioning and appointing this Committee, any such bias is intolerable and must be eliminated. The courts are the institutions in which all citizens should expect to be treated with equality, fairness, and respect. In order to live up to this ideal, Pennsylvania’s courts must undertake reforms. Accordingly, the Committee identifies in the report its findings and its recommendations for change. These findings and recommendations are designed to respond to the concerns articulated to the Committee and to highlight areas of the justice system in need of improvement.

In formulating the recommendations, the Committee acknowledges that the implementation of some of them is likely to be costly. Nevertheless, the Committee strongly believes that they represent important steps towards achieving a bias-free justice system.

While the findings and recommendations are responsive to the Court’s charge, the Committee also believes that the work of the Court on these matters should continue. There is an obvious need for additional data on some issues, and in other areas, a more systematic effort should be undertaken to establish a baseline and a system for monitoring progress. Data collection should be an ongoing activity of the Court if bias is to be addressed effectively. The Committee, therefore, respectfully recommends that the Court consider appointing an implementation committee to accomplish its goals of fairness and equality in the courts.²

ENDNOTES

.....
¹ The members of the Committee include the following:
Nicholas P. Cafardi, Chair
Honorable Ida K. Chen
Thomas L. Cooper, Esquire
André L. Dennis, Esquire
Honorable Nelson A. Diaz
Phoebe A. Haddon, Esquire
Roberta D. Liebenberg, Esquire
Charisse R. Lillie, Esquire
Lynn A. Marks, Esquire
Burton D. Morris, Esquire
Monsignor David Rubino

² During the study, the Committee heard concerns regarding bias against those with disabilities and gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgendered individuals. The Committee determined that bias against people in these categories was beyond the scope of its charge. Nevertheless, the Committee suggests that the Court consider simultaneously addressing the needs of these groups, in light of the similarity of issues and solutions in the context of race, ethnicity, and gender.